UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,905	04/30/2007	Kunihiro Hattori	14875-161US1 C1-A0313P2-U	2076
26161 7590 02/24/2011 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. (BO) P.O. BOX 1022			EXAMINER	
			OUSPENSKI, ILIA I	
MINNEAPOLI	S, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1644	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/24/2011	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
O#*	10/575,905	HATTORI ET AL.	HATTORI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ILIA OUSPENSKI	1644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	22 December 2010					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
,	,—					
·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 2,7,9-12,20 and 39-61 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) 2,7,9-12,39-42 and 57-61 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/30/10;12/22/10;12/22/10;2/9/11.	8) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/575,905 Page 2

Art Unit: 1644

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's amendment and remarks filed on 12/22/2010 are acknowledged.

Claims 2, 7, 9-12, 20 and 39-61 are pending.

- 2. The objections and rejections of record have been withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendment and arguments, except as reiterated herein.
- 3. Claims 2, 7, 9-12 and 39-42 are directed to an allowable product. Pursuant to the procedures set forth in MPEP § 821.04(b), claims 20 and 43-61, directed to the process of making or using the allowable product, previously withdrawn from consideration as a result of a restriction requirement, are hereby rejoined and fully examined for patentability under 37 CFR 1.104.
  - 4. The following is a quotation of the **first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112**:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims 20 and 43-56 are rejected under **35 U.S.C. 112**, **first paragraph**, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claims contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention.

The rejection set forth in section 8 of the previous Office Action is maintained for the reasons of record, as it applies to the rejoined and newly added claims. It is maintained that the specification does not provide a sufficient enabling description of a method for "preventing and/or treating" any diseases. The rejection of record is reiterated herein:

"The specification does not enable one of skill in the art to make and use the invention as claimed without undue experimentation. Factors to be considered in determining whether undue experimentation is required to practice the claimed invention are summarized in <a href="In re Wands">In re Wands</a> (858 F2d 731, 737, 8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404 (Fed. Cir. 1988)). The factors most relevant to this rejection are the scope of the claim, the amount of direction or guidance provided, limited working examples, the unpredictability in the art and the amount of experimentation required to enable one of skill in the art to practice the claimed invention.

"In evaluating the facts of the instant case, it is noted that in applying therapies based on T cell costimulatory molecules, in vitro and even animal model studies have not correlated well with in vivo clinical trial results in patients. Since the efficacy of therapeutic antibodies can be species- and model-dependent, it is unpredictable whether reliance on the considerations described in the instant specification provide the basis for employing the recited antibodies for treating any diseases. For example, Blazar et al. (J. Immunol., 1996, 157: 3250 – 3259; see entire document, in particular, e.g. page 3257, column 2 first paragraph) disclose that issues such as tissue distribution, half-life, affinity and avidity obtained with various reagents targeting

immunoregulatory molecules might prove to be highly important in achieving a therapeutic effect. Therefore, any conclusion regarding the efficacy of immune modulation on altering in vivo immune response should be interpreted in light of the specific reagent used (Blazar et al., see page 3257, column 2, paragraph 1). Thus there is no evidence that the animal model used in the experiments disclosed in the specification would be predictive of the therapeutic methods encompassed by the claims.

"Pharmaceutical therapies in the absence of in vivo clinical data are unpredictable for the following reasons; (1) the protein may be inactivated before producing an effect, i.e. such as proteolytic degradation, immunological inactivation or due to an inherently short half-life of the protein; (2) the protein may not reach the target area because, i.e. the protein may not be able to cross the mucosa or the protein may be adsorbed by fluids, cells and tissues where the protein has no effect; and (3) other functional properties, known or unknown, may make the protein unsuitable for in vivo therapeutic use, i.e. such as adverse side effects prohibitive to the use of such treatment. See page 1338, footnote 7 of <a href="Exparte Aggarwal">Exparte Aggarwal</a>, 23 USPQ2d 1334 (PTO Bd. Pat App. & Inter. 1992).

"Further, the burden of enabling the <u>prevention</u> of a disease is greater than that of enabling a treatment method due to the need to screen the subjects susceptible to the respective condition and the difficulty of proof that the administration of the drug was the agent that acted to prevent the condition. The specification does not provide guidance as to how one skilled in the art would go about screening those patients susceptible to any diseases within the scope of the presently claimed invention. Nor is guidance provided as to a specific protocol to be utilized in order to prove the efficacy of the presently claimed compounds in preventing these disease states. Accordingly, undue experimentation is necessary to determine screening and testing protocols to demonstrate the efficacy of the presently claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/575,905

Art Unit: 1644

"In view of insufficient guidance by the instant specification and the lack of predictability of the art to which the invention pertains with respect to the therapeutic efficacy of the claimed antibodies, undue experimentation would be required to make the claimed compositions with a reasonable expectation of success in using them for treatment or prevention of the recited diseases, absent a specific and detailed description in applicant's specification of the clinical protocols, and absent working examples providing evidence that the claimed methods are effective for treating any of the recited pathological conditions."

Page 5

- 6. Claims 2, 7, 9-12, 39-42 and 57-61 are allowable.
- 7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ILIA OUSPENSKI whose telephone number is (571)272-2920. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9 - 5.

Application/Control Number: 10/575,905 Page 6

Art Unit: 1644

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ram R. Shukla can be reached on 571-272-0735. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/ILIA OUSPENSKI/
ILIA OUSPENSKI, Ph.D.
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1644

February 17, 2011